	Case 1:13-cr-10305-FDS Document 98 Filed 11/12/14 Page 1 of 37	
		1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS	
3		
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
5) Plaintiff,) Criminal Action	
6)) No. 13-10305-FDS	
7))))	
8	KEITH GAGE,) Defendant.)	
9		
10	BEFORE: THE HONORABLE F. DENNIS SAYLOR, IV	
11		
12	SENTENCING	
13		
14		
15	John Joseph Moakley United States Courthouse Courtroom No. 2	
16	One Courthouse Way Boston, MA 02210	
17		
18	October 23, 2014 3:00 p.m.	
19	ovoo pumi	
20		
21		
22		
23	Valerie A. O'Hara Official Court Reporter	
24	John Joseph Moakley United States Courthouse One Courthouse Way, Room 3204	
25	Boston, MA 02210 E-mail: vaohara@gmail.com	

Case 1:13-cr-10305-FDS Document 98 Filed 11/12/14 Page 2 of 37 APPEARANCES: For The United States: United States Attorney's Office, by SUZANNE SULLIVAN JACOBUS, ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, 1 Courthouse Way, Suite 9200, Boston, Massachusetts 02110; For the Defendant: Law Offices of Kevin J. Reddington, by KEVIN J. REDDINGTON, ESQ., 1342 Belmont Street, Suite 203, Brockton, Massachusetts 02301.

1 PROCEEDINGS THE CLERK: All rise. United States District Court 2. for the District of Massachusetts is now in session, the 3 Honorable Judge Saylor presiding. Today is Thursday, 4 October 23rd, 2014. The case of U.S. v. Gage, Criminal Action No. 13-10305 will now be heard. Would counsel please identify themselves for the record. 8 9 MS. SULLIVAN: Good afternoon, your Honor, Suzanne Sullivan on behalf of the United States. 03:00PM 10 11 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 12 MR. REDDINGTON: Good afternoon, your Honor, 13 Kevin Reddington on behalf of Mr. Gage, who is before the 14 Court. 1.5 THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon. Please be 16 This is the sentencing of Keith Gage pursuant to an 17 11(c)(1)(c) plea agreement, which I, having reviewed the 18 pre-sentence report, now accept. 19 I have received and read the pre-sentence report as revised through October 15th, the plea agreement from last 03:01PM 20 21 July, the defendant's sentencing memorandum dated October 21st, the government's sentencing memorandum filed October 20th, a 22 23 letter from the father of the victim, and I think that is it. 24 Is there anything else I should have seen that I have

25

not, Ms. Sullivan?

1 MS. SULLIVAN: I don't believe so, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Reddington? 2. MR. REDDINGTON: No, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Reddington, have you had 4 5 an opportunity to review the pre-sentence report? MR. REDDINGTON: Yes, your Honor, I went down to Wyatt, and I sat with Mr. Gage for a very significant length of 7 time, and we did review the report. We have no objections to 8 9 the report. 03:02PM 10 THE COURT: Is that correct, Mr. Gage? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Ms. Sullivan, are there any victims or 13 representatives of the victim who are present and wish to 14 participate? 1.5 MS. SULLIVAN: Not at today's hearing, your Honor, no, but they have been notified pursuant to 18 U.S.C, 16 17 Section 3771, Subsection (a). 18 THE COURT: All right. I don't understand that there 19 are any objections to the pre-sentence report, but there is 03:02PM 20 a -- and it's probably a purely academic exercise at this 21 point, but there is a difference between what the parties 22 agreed was the base guideline, base offense level guideline and 23 what the pre-sentence report says. 24 The PSR makes it a Level 32 as opposed to a Level 28. 25 I think probably under the plea agreement, the government

cannot advocate for a 32, but you could explain, I think, why you think 32 is wrong and why it ought to be 28. Ms. Sullivan, do you have a view on the discrepancy?

MS. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, the cross-section that was utilized in the PSR takes into effect that there was evidence of production of child pornography that was recovered on some of the electronic equipment that was lawfully seized at Mr. Gage's residence.

The government did not charge the defendant with that particular statute, and if the government had, then the defendant would be facing a 15-year mandatory minimum, and by the government's calculations the cross-section and cross-reference calculations would have been applied.

We negotiated the plea agreement on the charges as they currently stood, which were two counts of an 18 U.S.C., Section 2422. One was coercion and enticement of a minor, and the second was attempted coercion and enticement of a minor.

We did take into consideration the evidence that was found but not charged in negotiating the plea, so I do not believe that the probation department has miscalculated or erroneously calculated using the cross-reference, but when Mr. Reddington and my office, including myself, negotiated the plea agreement, we took into consideration what the guideline calculations would be on the coercion and enticement.

THE COURT: All right. Again, I think it's purely

03:03PM 10

2.

03:04РМ 20

academic, but I'm supposed to come to a final resting point.

Mr. Reddington, do you --

MR. REDDINGTON: I agree, your Honor, we've spent a significant period of time negotiating this matter involving supervisors, a number of people. We did talk about the various charges. We talked at length about the evidence. We did in the plea agreement recommend to the Court that he would be pleading to the two indictments.

I understand how the probation calculations came out with that discrepancy, but just as counsel for the government asked, I would ask that the Court adopt what has been placed in the plea agreement.

THE COURT: All right. What I'm going to do is this.

I think it's not going to make any difference whatsoever here,
but I'm going to leave the PSR where it is. The guideline
calculation is supposed to be based on real offense as opposed
to charging offense. It's purely academic, and it won't affect
the sentence, but I think as a technical guideline matter, the
PSR is correct, and so I'm going to leave it where it is.

So that's a base offense level of 32. With two adjustments based on the age of the victim and the use of a computer brings us to a 38. There's a three-level adjustment for acceptance of responsibility on government motion,

Ms. Sullivan.

MS. SULLIVAN: Yes, your Honor, we do so.

03:04PM 10

2.

03:05РМ 20

1 THE COURT: The motion is granted. That produces a criminal history score of 0, a criminal history category of I, 2. there is a mandatory minimum of 120 months, the guideline range 3 is 168 to 210 months. The parties' recommendation or rather agreed upon range in the PSR is 156 to 180, the supervised release range is five years to life, the fine range is \$20,000 to \$200,000 and 7 the \$200 special assessment is mandatory. 8 9 Is there any further addition or correction not 03:06PM 10 already discussed to the quideline calculation, Ms. Sullivan? 11 MS. SULLIVAN: Not with the calculations, no. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Reddington? 1.3 MR. REDDINGTON: No, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Ms. Sullivan, what's the status of 15 restitution? MS. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, as the Court is aware, 16 there was an unrelated defendant but involving the same minor 17 victim who was before the Court for sentencing on October 1st. 18 19 On that date, the government had an opportunity to speak with

We have asked the United States Postal Inspector Services to reach out to the victim's parents, and that has been done, but to date we have not received any restitution.

defense counsel on that particular case and inform the Court

that we had not received any restitution documentation.

03:06PM 20

21

22

23

24

25

I understand that the Court has put on a date for a

restitution hearing on the other case. I would ask the Court to do the same to give us the opportunity to see if we do receive restitution. I know restitution is mandatory under the statute. I'm not trying to prolong or delay this, but I would like to have the opportunity to confirm one way or the other whether the minor victim's family wishes to seek restitution from the defendant in connection with this case.

I mean, I guess it also raises the question if restitution is mandatory, and we don't have any documentation. Does that mean I should order a relatively nominal amount, \$100? I don't know the answer to that, but that's something I think maybe the government and counsel ought to think about. As I read the statute, I'm supposed to do something.

MS. SULLIVAN: Yes.

THE COURT: So the proposal is that I set it for a restitution hearing down the road and we see how this plays out, correct?

MS. SULLIVAN: That would be my request, and I'm not asking for a long period of time either, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Reddington, what's your view of that?

MR. REDDINGTON: I agree with that. I understand the statute does indicate that it's mandatory, and so whatever date we agree to is fine.

THE COURT: Why don't we do it at around the time, do

19 03:08PM 20

1

2.

3

4

6

7

9

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

03:07PM 10

21

2425

22

you know the date of the --

1

2.

3

4

7

9

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

03:08PM 10

MS. SULLIVAN: I believe it's October 31st.

THE COURT: All right. That's actually coming up fairly quickly. I'll tell you what, I don't need to set that date now. Why don't we put that on hold for the time being.

All right. With that as our framework, let me hear from the government as to its recommendation.

MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you, your Honor. Your Honor, although the now 15-year old victim and that individual's parents have chosen not to be present for today's hearing, the minor victim's father has submitted an impact statement to the Court, a copy of which has been previously provided to the Court, probation as well as defense counsel.

In it, among other things, the father of this then

14 year-old daughter explains how entire family has been

devastated by what this defendant has done. He explains how

the family has not recovered, and he indicates that "these acts

hang over our family like a burden or a weight that never can

be lightened. It never leaves our minds like we are sentenced

to a life-long suffering."

He does end the statement with the following: "Your Honor, I seek no vengeance but knowing how I suffer, I want him in jail for as many years as the Court can sentence him so that other families will not have to suffer as we do."

As the Court is well aware, the parties have submitted

03:09PM 20

2.

1.3

03:10PM 20

03:10PM 10

the (c) plea to the Court for your consideration, and in it we agree on all parts of it except for the actual term of incarceration, and in that particular subsection, we're not even that far apart. It's a difference of 24 months, 13 to 15 years, so the government has submitted its sentencing memorandum and laid out what our recommendation is, and it's as follows:

We're asking the Court to impose the 15-year period of incarceration on Mr. Keith Gage followed by five years of supervised release with the specific conditions. We're asking for forfeiture as determined by the Court, which is outlined in Subsection 10 of the plea agreement, which is contained on pages 6 and 7.

I will tell the Court that at the plea relative to Mr. Gage, when I was providing the statement of facts to the Court, at one point at the plea hearing, I did indicate to the Court that there may be some items that the government will agree to return to the defendant's family, and we needed to confirm whether there was any evidence of either child pornography or criminal activity on some of those items.

We have now been able to confirm that there is five items listed on the plea agreement that the government would agree to return to the defendant's sister, and I have informed Mr. Reddington, I've discussed this with him, and if the Court wishes, I have also highlighted them. They're Section A, I, J,

2.

1.3

03:11PM 20

03:11PM 10

K and L that are on the plea agreement, so I just wanted to make sure I didn't forget to at least put that on the record.

I'm happy to provide that to the Clerk or to the Court should the Court wish to have that.

THE COURT: I think that's good enough for now. A, I, J, K and L?

MS. SULLIVAN: A, I, J, K and L, yes, your Honor. In addition, it's not specifically identified, but there were some recordable media in the form of CDs and DVDs that apparently contained family photographs and such, and the defendant's sister has requested that those items be returned, and we would agree to do that as well.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, other than that particular aside, we're asking you to adopt the other conditions that are part and parcel of the plea agreement. We are asking for the restitution as determined by the Court, the \$200 special assessment, and also, in addition, during the entire period of incarceration and the entire period of supervised release, in the plea agreement, the parties have requested that this Court order a no contact direct or indirect with the minor victim in this particular case.

Additionally, as a consequence of his convictions on these two crimes, pursuant to the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act and the laws of the Commonwealth of

2.

03:12PM 10

1.5

03:13PM 20

Massachusetts, Keith Gage is required to register as a sex offender and to keep that registration current in the place where he resides, is employed or where he is a student. This requirement is also contained fully in the fully executed plea agreement.

Your Honor, this 42-year-old defendant, at the time 42-year-old defendant was three times the age of the 14-year-old eighth grade victim in this particular case. Two days after she reported to the authorities what this defendant and other individuals whom she had met on a particular website had done to her, she tried to take her own life.

She wrote a handwritten suicide note that contained, among other things, words to the effect of, "I just don't want to face high school knowing the torture I might go through."

She explains that she took pain killers so it wouldn't hurt because, according to her, "It hurts like hell."

This eighth-grader left this note for her parents, and they're the individuals who found her, and she was transported to a local hospital. She has struggled as a result of the defendant's criminal actions. The defendant did plead guilty in this case back on July 11th to the two-count indictment in this case, your Honor. He does face the 10-year mandatory minimum for a conviction of each of the two offenses, and I would suggest to the Court that that reflects the seriousness with which Congress and also the Sentencing Commission view the

sexual exploitation of minors.

2.

1.5

03:14PM 20

03:13PM 10

The government strongly believes that the 15-year period of incarceration that we recommend is warranted in this case. I would suggest to the Court that it accounts for the 3553(a) factors which the Court must consider, which includes the nature and circumstances of the offense, which I've outlined in the statement of fact conduct that I provided to probation and also outlined in the sentencing memorandum that I've submitted to the Court.

It also takes into consideration the history and characteristics of Keith Gage. It reflects the serious nature of his repeated and heinous criminal conduct, it promotes respect for the law, it affords adequate specific and general deterrence, and it also protects the public from future crimes of the defendant.

Turning to the nature and circumstances of the case, your Honor, as the Court is well aware from the details that had been provided at the plea and in the PSR, Keith Gage knowingly and intentionally preyed upon the vulnerabilities of a junior high school student, specifically an eighth-grade female.

He did so by coercing and enticing her online to have sexual intercourse with him on more than one occasion, which she ultimately did when the two of them met in person.

Thereafter, he also attempted to coerce and entice who he

2.

1.3

1.5

03:15PM 20

03:15PM 10

believed was this same minor victim but who in reality was at that point an undercover United States Postal Inspector Service agent. Believing that person to be the minor victim, he attempted to coerce and entice that person to meet with him and to engage in sexual intercourse.

This is a man who is three times the age of the victim. His own words demonstrate that he knew what he was doing was wrong, your Honor. He instructed this minor victim to save his contact information in his cell phone under the name of a female, a fictitious name of Caitlin in order for Keith Gage to avoid detection.

After they had had sex, he directed this victim to delete all messages from him and to change this fictitious name of Caitlin in his cell phone. He texted her that he thought that they had been caught and discovered, that her parents had found out about them and that the police had been called on him and that he'd be going to jail. He chose to prey about this young girl, and he manipulated her, I would suggest to the Court, all for his own sexual gratification.

I think it's also important to point out, your Honor, that Mr. Gage's actions were not isolated. His communication with this minor victim herself spanned approximately three months from May of 2013 up until the date that she reported this to the local police department on August 24th, 2013, and thereafter beginning that same date or same evening of

2.

1.3

1.5

03:16PM 20

03:16PM 10

August 24th going into September of 2013, that's when he continued to have communications with who he believed was the minor victim, but at that point it was an undercover postal inspector. Regardless of his intellect, his education, his work and his military service, Mr. Gage repeatedly sought out this eighth-grader for purposes of sexual coercion and enticement.

As the Court is aware, the two of them met online, exchanged phone numbers and began texting. There were approximately 1634 text messages between the minor victim and the defendant between May 25th of 2013 and August 24th of 2013, and thereafter approximately another 191 texts between the defendant and the undercover from August 31st to a mere 13 days later, September 13 of 2013.

There were e-mails and Skype that occurred between the defendant and the minor victim, and eventually those two individuals met up in person where the defendant engaged in sexual intercourse on at least two occasions with this young girl. This was all done in secret unbeknownst to the victim's parents. During the times that they met and engaged in various forms of sexual activity and sexual acts, the defendant gave alcohol to this 14 year-old girl.

In addition, your Honor, the defendant physically assaulted this minor, and that is captured on one of the videos that the defendant himself took of her while he instructed her

to perform various sexual acts.

1

2.

3

6

8

9

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

03:18PM 20

03:17PM 10

On at least three occasions, the defendant videotaped the victim performing sexual acts. Two of those occurred on the same day and a third occurred on a separate day. On the tape, the defendant is heard asking the victim how old she is, to which she replies 14.

The victim went and met this defendant after the two of them had these communications online, and after this occurred, the defendant continued to communicate online with the undercover believing him to be her in a further attempt to entice and coerce this young girl.

During the communications between the undercover and the defendant, he even sent a text to her indicating that he wanted to engage in a particular type of sexual activity, that being anal intercourse. He communicated online with the victim and with the undercover his desire to participate in different sexual acts and activities.

When he spoke with the victim, he also talked about participating in a gang rape of this 14 year-old girl, and on at least one occasion while Skyping with her, the defendant was masturbating.

The texts to the victim were very sexually graphic. Some of the texts that she replied also contained sexually graphic information as well. The defendant describes with specificity what he will do sexually to the victim. He talks

2.

03:19PM 20

03:19PM 10

about wanting to tie her up, wanting to dominate her, wanting to gang rape her with several of his co-workers and friends, and he mentions somewhere in the range of 6 to 8 other men taking turns having sexual activity with this minor.

He talks of the victim being his 14-year-old sex slave. He discusses wanting to take pictures and recording the two of them having sex. He's indicates that he's worried about being caught by authorities. He dictates what type of clothing she would wear. He wants to get her drunk, according to some texts that he sends her, and he tells her what poses he wants her to be in in various sexual positions.

The search warrant in this case was executed on September 16th of 2013, your Honor. As a result, computers, cell phones, electronic equipment, among other items, were seized, and the forensic examination was conducted of the equipment that was seized in this case.

Child pornography was found on the defendant's computer or computers. There was approximately 35 images of child pornography that were recovered from a computer. In addition, there were seven videos containing child pornography. Three of those seven videos depicted this minor victim.

The government took this into consideration in negotiating a plea agreement in this case, your Honor. If the defendant had been charged with one count of child pornography and no other count, he would be facing a 15-year mandatory

minimum in and of itself for that one count.

2.

1.3

1.5

03:21PM 20

03:20PM 10

The government would also suggest to the Court that in addition to the nature and the circumstances, it's important under the 3553(a) factors for the sentence to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to also promote respect for the law and to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct. The government believes that the 15-year sentence that it recommends accomplishes these goals.

I would suggest that it provides for both specific and general deterrence to future conduct by this defendant and any other individuals who either are thinking of or have been involved in the sexual exploitation of minors. It reinforces to this defendant and to others the severity of these crimes and the consequences if you are caught committing these offenses.

In addition, your Honor, I thought that it was important to point out to the Court another factor under the 3553(a) factors, and that would be the need to avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities among defendants with similar records, and so I thought incumbent upon me to point out to the Court what I see as the difference between this case and the prior case that was before your Honor involving the same minor victim.

In that case, your Honor, there was a one-count indictment versus this case with two. In that case, there was

2.

1.3

1.5

03:22PM 20

03:21PM 10

a single encounter between the minor victim and the defendant who engaged in sexual intercourse on one occasion. Here, we're talking multiple occasions. In this case, there's evidence of production of child pornography that was recovered on the defendant's computer and computer equipment, which was not the case in the previous one before you. In this case, there's physical assault by the defendant on the minor victim, which is different from the last.

And the government believes this is very important, these factors are very important, and we took those into consideration when we thought we made an appropriate recommendation in the plea agreement and in our sentencing memorandum, and so for all of the reasons that I've outlined today, for all of the reasons that I've discussed in the sentencing memorandum that I filed with the Court, the government believes that the 15-year sentence that we recommend is an appropriate one, and it satisfies and accomplishes the 3553(a) factors, and it's not greater than necessary, and we would ask the Court respectfully to impose the sentence that the government has recommended, and I thank you for your time.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Reddington.

MR. REDDINGTON: Thank you, Judge. Your Honor, I am on behalf of Mr. Gage asking that in accordance with our plea agreement that the Court impose the sentence of 13 years or the

156 months, low end on the plea agreement.

2.

03:23PM 20

03:23PM 10

The reason I'm asking for that, your Honor, is this is a very -- I find in my experience and my practice a rather unusual case consistent with 3553(a) factors which counsel has referenced, and your Honor is well aware, is almost like the bedrock of sentencing now. At least it puts a little bit of judicial discretion, weighing, consideration. It's a very important factor in the sentence that the Court would ultimately craft and impose.

Mr. Gage has readily acknowledged the criminal conduct, the inappropriate conduct. He is embarrassed, he's ashamed, he is truly humiliated and readily accepts his punishment whichever your Honor feels is appropriate, but when you consider the other 3553 factors and what gives the Court the ability to weigh and consider the person that you're sentencing, you look at the pre-sentence report, you see a young man who was raised in Easton. He was raised with a loving family. He's got extremely strong family support.

Members of his family have been with him throughout this process, his sister, his mother, they're here, they still support him and they still embrace him, not only as a brother but as a guy they know has good, decent qualities in him, so we have a 44-year-old man with, as counsel indicated, I think criminal history 1 or 0, if you will, who was educated in the Town of Easton. He's a hard-working guy, and he joins the

military.

2.

1.3

03:25PM 20

03:24PM 10

He goes into the United States Marine Corps. He does very well. He's a combat veteran from Iraq. He went through a significant — let's just say he went through significant combat as a result of which, your Honor, it's documented he has extreme post-traumatic stress disorder.

I know that you know that one of the side effects, if you will, or kind of like the self-medication is that people start drinking or they start drugging trying to handle the stress and the problems and the inability to sleep and the mood swings and their psyche that gets tipped somewhat off edge.

Well, that's exactly what he did.

He was engaged to a young woman who was a doctor. They had great life plans, and then this whole thing comes tumbling down. He ends up drunk constantly, whether it's numbing or trying to combat, if you will, the demons that he was struggling with and the PTSD, and it was at this stage in his life, kind of like a functioning alcoholic. He was a hard-working man. He drove a truck. Your Honor has all the documentation. He had for the purposes of construction, he's got construction certificates, construction degrees as far as planning, construction projects, always worked hard.

Everything kind of came down on him. He ended up breaking up his fiancee'. That relationship went south, and he ended up, with the good graces of his sister, Heather, who is

2.

03:26PM 20

03:26PM 10

here, she allowed him to live in her house. It was at that period of time, your Honor, that he just went wildly off the chart, he was drinking heavily, and this unfortunate situation arose.

So here we are where we have to sentence a man, one of the standards under 3553 as well as the sentencing guidelines is that the sentence would not be greater than necessary to punish the person.

Well, as counsel noted, the legislature has determined that a 10-year, decade, mandatory minimum sentence for this type of an offense is appropriate. That's a significant sentence, and here with the plea agreement, we're looking at a bottom line, if you will, of a 13-year.

Now, your Honor, I know you're familiar with back a number of years ago second degree murder in Massachusetts was 15 years parole eligibility. That's a long time. Thirteen years is a significant time, then to be in the position of registering as a sex offender, which obviously protects the public, being in a position of being on supervised release, which I suspect is fine because I think he will, when he gets out, be a hard-working member of society, but for purposes of the sentencing, your Honor, I would ask that the Court in some fashion look at Mr. Gage not with repugnance or disgust and say, you know, how could you do that, because he sits there, and he knows what he did, and, as I say, he is very humiliated,

2.

1.5

03:28PM 20

03:27PM 10

and he would like the opportunity to allocute, which I know you would mention to him anyway, but I'm just asking that if your Honor is able to say that there is good in this man, he has done good and he will do good.

He's adjusted, he's been in Wyatt all this time, he's been down there, he gets along with everybody. I mean, he's well-liked by the administration, the staff, the inmates. I would suggest to the Court 13 years is a significant time, and I'd ask that you go for the low end of that plea agreement.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Gage, do you wish to address the Court before I impose sentence?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. I'd like to apologize to the victim and her family for any damage I've done, to my family for the headache, the heartache and turmoil and to you and the Court for what we have to go through. That's it.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. What I'm going to do is this: I'm going to impose the 156-month sentence. It's a very long sentence. I don't mean by doing so to suggest in any way that I think the crime is not serious or otherwise to suggest that in some way that I'm giving the defendant a break. I'm not.

I think under the circumstances that a 13-year prison sentence, which is very long, there's no parole, although there's good time, is sufficient under the circumstances to

impose the appropriate level of punishment.

2.

1.5

03:30PM 20

03:29PM 10

In addition, as Mr. Reddington points out, collateral consequences will follow the defendant really for the rest of his life beginning with registration as a sex offender, which will have an impact on where he can live, where he can work, what he can do and so on, and I think taken together with the five-year supervised release, which is going to have some stringent conditions, that 18 years in the criminal justice system plus registration as a sex offender is sufficient under the circumstances.

As is customary in this case, we have a lengthy series of conditions of supervised release. Mr. Reddington, what I would propose to do is to summarize those. The actual text will be put in the final judgment. I can read them allowed if you want.

MR. REDDINGTON: That's fine, your Honor, I did actually go over them with him.

THE COURT: That was going to be my question is whether you had gone over the proposals with him. I do want to note that with respect to some of these restrictions, particularly the computer restrictions, it's hard to know what the world is going to look like 10 or 13 years from now.

My intent is to permit the defendant to be a functioning member of society, to use communication devices in order to work or to educate himself or to fit in to society as

2.

03:32PM 20

03:31PM 10

best he can while still providing for appropriate monitoring and supervision.

That's hard to do today, much less trying to predict what the world is going to look like in the future, but these conditions may need to be modified, and I simply am stating my intention here so that when and if the time comes, the idea is not to completely cripple the defendant's ability to function in a modern society but to have appropriate restrictions and monitoring, supervision to make sure that he's not abusing the computer or use of the Internet and obviously particularly not using it to view inappropriate images.

So with that, what I'm going to do is to formally state the sentence I'm going to impose. I'm going to then formally state the reasons, and I will give counsel an opportunity to make any final objections or corrections before I finally impose the sentence.

Would the defendant please stand. Pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 and having considered the sentencing factors set forth at 18 United States Code, Section 3553(a), it is the judgment of the Court that the defendant, Keith Gage, is hereby committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of 156 months.

This term consists of 156 months on Counts 1 and 2 to be served concurrently. The Court makes a judicial

recommendation that the defendant participate in the Bureau of Prison's Residential Drug Abuse Program due to his substance abuse history and based on an informal prescreening performed by probation.

The Court makes a further judicial recommendation that the defendant be designated to an institution commensurate with security where the defendant can participate in sex offender treatment, and the Court makes a further judicial recommendation that the defendant be designated to an institution commensurate with security and his mental health needs as close as possible to Middleborough, Massachusetts.

Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant shall be placed on supervised release for a term of five years. This term consists of terms of five years on Counts 1 and 2, such terms to run concurrently. Within 72 hours of release from custody of the Bureau of Prisons, the defendant shall report in person to the district to which the defendant is released.

While on supervised release, the defendant shall comply with the following terms and conditions:

The defendant shall not commit another federal, state or local crime and shall not illegally possess a controlled substance.

The defendant shall refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance.

The defendant shall submit to one drug test within 15

03:33PM 20

22

21

1

2.

8

9

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

03:33PM 10

23

24

days of release from imprisonment and at least two periodic drug tests thereafter, not to exceed 104 tests per year as directed by probation.

The defendant shall submit to the collection of a DNA sample as directed by probation.

The defendant shall comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by the Court which are described in Section 5D1.3C of the Sentencing Guidelines and which will be set forth in detail in the judgment.

The defendant is prohibited from possessing a firearm, destructive device or other dangerous weapon.

The defendant is not to consume any alcoholic beverages.

From this point forward, I'm going to summarize the conditions. Again, they're going to be set forth in detail in the judgment. This is intended only as a summary.

One condition of supervised release will be that the defendant will participate in a program for substance abuse counseling, including testing not to exceed 104 tests per year to determine whether the defendant is using alcohol or drugs.

The defendant shall participate in a mental health treatment program. The defendant shall register as a sex offender within three business days from his release from prison, and he'll keep that registration current in any jurisdiction where he lives, works or is a student and shall

03:34PM 10

11

12

1

2.

3

7

9

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

03:35PM 20

21

22

2.4

24

within three days of any change in name, residence, employment or student status appear in person and in at least one jurisdiction make the necessary changes.

I'm also warning the defendant that violating that condition may be a new federal crime that's punishable up 10 years in prison, and you do need to read and sign a form that gives you notice and acknowledges your duty or has you acknowledge your duty to register.

I'm going to order that you participate in a sexual specific evaluation or sex offender specific treatment conducted by a sex offender treatment provider as directed and approved by probation. That's going to include various requirements, among other things, that you submit to testing. You may be required to submit to a polygraph exam. If you do, you don't waive your Fifth Amendment rights, and your exercise of those rights will not give rise to a violation proceeding and will not be used as evidence to prove violation, however, it may be considered in a hearing to modify release conditions and could initiate a separate investigation.

I'm going to order that you not possess or use a computer or Internet-capable device or similar electronic device or have access to any online service without the prior approval of probation.

I'm going to direct that you allow probation to install computer Internet-monitoring programs on any computer

03:36PM 10

2.

03:36PM 20

2.

1.3

03:38PM 20

03:37PM 10

or similar device to which you have access unless it's one that's owned by your employer. The program is designed or will be designed to identify for probation any inappropriate viewing or uploading or transmitting of sexual or inappropriate images, and you're ordered not to remove or attempt to defeat those systems and to allow probation to examine such a computer and receive data from it.

You're to advise anyone who lives with you in your household that any computer might be subject to monitoring.

Any device that you possess or use shall not be used to access child pornography or communicate with any individual or group to promote sexual relations with children.

You're not to enter chatrooms or send or receive instant messages or send or receive e-mail with file attachments in any way unless probation approves, and you're not to use any sex-related websites, telephone services or electronic bulletin boards.

You're to disclose all your account information to probation for Internet access, social networking, e-mail, including names and passwords. You're to provide a list of any software or hardware on your computer system as well as service provider billing records if probation asks for it.

You're to ask -- if probation asks you, you're to give any financial information so that they can monitor these conditions, including things like credit cards bills, telephone

bills and cable bills.

You're not to have any direct or indirect contact with children under the age of 18 except in the presence of a responsible adult who's aware of the nature of your background and this offense and who's been approved by probation.

You're not to have any direct or indirect contact with the victim or with her family. If you do have any incidental contact with a child or the victim or the victim's family, you're required to remove yourself from the situation at once and to notify probation.

You're to consent to disclosing to any employer or potential employer any computer restrictions that you have unless probation excuses it in advance. You're not to take a job that will cause you to come in direct contact with children unless probation approves it in advance.

You're not to participate in any volunteer activities that will cause you to come into direct contact with children unless probation approves it in advance, and that includes not only face-to-face contact but indirect contact, over the Internet, by telephone and so on.

You're not to accept a job without the approval of probation so that they can assess the risk to the community that you may pose if you hold a particular job.

Again, that's a summary of these conditions. There's more detail, and there will be more detail in the actual

03:39РМ 10

1

2.

3

4

7

8

9

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

03:39PM 20

2122

23

24

conditions of supervised, release and, again, my intention because some of these may need to be modified down the road is to permit you to have an education, to hold a job and otherwise function in the community while providing appropriate restrictions and monitoring and controls, and I'm further ordering that you pay to the United States a special assessment of \$200, which will be due immediately.

All right. You may be seated. In terms of the formal reasons for the sentence, it's a sentence within the range agreed to by the parties in a binding plea agreement under Rule 11(c)(1)(c). It is technically a non-guideline sentence imposed under Section 3553(a), which I think is appropriate under the circumstances for the reasons indicated. A period of five years of supervised release is appropriate to ensure adequate supervision.

I'm imposing no fine based on the defendant's financial resources and in light of the fact that the combined prison term and period of supervised release is sufficiently punitive that no additional sanction is required.

I'm deferring for the time being the entry of any restitution order pending a future hearing, and the special assessment is mandatory.

All right. Do counsel have any addition or correction or objection to that sentence not previously raised?

Ms. Sullivan.

03:41PM 10

2.

03:41PM 20

1 MS. SULLIVAN: Only one qualification, your Honor. THE COURT: Yes. 2. MS. SULLIVAN: In the plea agreement, the parties have 3 requested that the no contact direct or indirect with the minor 4 victim be for the pendency of both the incarceration period and 6 the supervised release period. THE COURT: All right. Do I have -- is there any 7 question with my power to do that? I think it's a good idea. 8 9 In other words, I can impose conditions of supervised release? 03:42PM 10 PROBATION OFFICER: It may be a judicial 11 recommendation. I don't know how it applies with the Bureau of 12 Prisons. I'm not sure if there's a condition that can be 13 applied. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Reddington, what's your view? 15 other words, the question is obviously I can restrict his contact while on supervised release, the question is while he's 16 17 an incarcerated prisoner, can I impose a condition he not have 18 contact? I mean, I think it's a sensible idea. 19 MR. REDDINGTON: Yes, I've had cases before where 03:42PM 20 other Judges have done that in the state system where they've 21 entered an order that one of the terms and conditions of the 22 sentence imposed would be no contact with the victim or the 23 victim's family to obviously prevent telephone contact or 24 letter writing and things like that, so we would have no

objection to that, your Honor.

2.

1.5

03:44PM 20

03:43PM 10

I'm going to impose that as part of the sentence that he have no contact with the victim or the victim's family during the pendency not only of the supervised release but the prison sentence. In the event that there is an issue as to my authority to do that, it will be a judicial recommendation that the Bureau of Prisons adopt the same.

All right. Mr. Reddington, anything further?

MR. REDDINGTON: No, your Honor, I think it's very thorough, no problem.

THE COURT: The sentence is hereby imposed as stated. There is a forfeiture order which will be made part of the judgment, and there is a waiver of appeal, as I understand it.

Mr. Gage, I understand that you have waived your right to appeal your conviction and sentence. Nonetheless, I'm going to give you your advice of rights about your right of appeal.

I'm not doing that to confuse you, but I'm doing that as a precaution in case for some reason the waiver doesn't apply or it's not effective or it doesn't cover everything, and you'll have to consult with counsel to see whether or not that's true.

So if you have not waived it, you can appeal your conviction if you believe that your guilty plea was unlawful or involuntary or if there was some other fundamental defect in the proceeding that has not been waived. If you've not waived it, you may have the right to appeal your sentence under some

circumstances, particularly if you think the sentence was 1 contrary to law. If you're unable to pay the costs of appeal, 2. you may ask permission to have those costs waived and appeal 3 without pain. You must file any notice of appeal within 14 4 days after the entry of judgment, and if you request, the clerk 6 will immediately prepare and file a notice of appeal on your 7 behalf. Again, my understanding is that you have waived your 8 right to an appeal, but you'll have to consult with 9 03:45PM 10 Mr. Reddington to see whether or not there's anything left to 11 appeal. 12 All right. Before we break, I want to set a date for 13 the forfeiture hearing. Ms. Sullivan, in the other case, we 14 have a hearing set for the 31st. Do you expect to be able to 15 resolve it at that point? 16 MS. SULLIVAN: I have every hope that I'm able to 17 resolve it by that point, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Does it make sense to do this at the same 19 time or should I wait some period of time after that? 03:45PM 20 MS. SULLIVAN: I'd actually defer to Mr. Reddington. 21 I'm not trying to turn it around too quickly for him. I'm happy to do it either on the same date or a short time 22 23 thereafter. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Reddington.

MS. SULLIVAN: The same date.

2.

1.3

03:47PM 20

03:46PM 10

THE COURT: Why don't we do it on the same date.

There's nothing special about this date. That hearing is set for 11:00. Why don't we make it 11:15. I don't know if I'm going to have an actual hearing or not. If we are, if we are going to call witnesses, obviously that's different. I assume it's going to be a quick legal proceeding?

MS. SULLIVAN: That's my understanding at this point, your Honor.

THE COURT: Just let me know. So 11:15, Friday,

October 31st for a hearing on restitution, and it may be if you

come to an agreement, we don't need to have a physical hearing,

maybe I can simply enter the order.

MS. SULLIVAN: Great, thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Again, I would like if the victim wishes not to participate or to submit any medical records or anything of that sort, I may need nonetheless to do something because I think restitution is mandatory, and I would like to know the parties' views on that as well if that's how it plays out.

MS. SULLIVAN: Understood.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gage, I want to say a couple of things, I guess. First, I note the presence of family and supporters here. I think I can only imagine what they're going through. I hope you're thankful for their presence. A lot of people in your position, the family is so

embarrassed by it, they're effectively abandoned. 1 families want nothing to do with them, and I'm sure this is 2 3 heart-breaking for them, but I want to acknowledge their 4 presence here. 5 I, of course, want to acknowledge the victim and her 6 family and can only imagine what they're going through, and, 7 Mr. Gage, you've gotten a very long sentence which you deserve, but I don't take any particular pleasure in doing it. 8 9 understand that you're a human being and that you have positive 03:48PM 10 qualities, to be sure, and at some point even though it's quite 11 far in the future, I do hope that this is behind you and that you can return to being a productive member of society having 12 13 served your sentence. 14 All right. Is there anything further, Ms. Sullivan? 15 MS. SULLIVAN: No, thank you. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Reddington? 17 MR. REDDINGTON: No, your Honor, thank you. 18 THE COURT: Thank you. We'll stand in recess. 19 (Whereupon, the hearing was adjourned at 3:49 p.m.) 20 21 22 23 24 25

```
1
                         CERTIFICATE
 2
 3
 4
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT )
      DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS ) ss.
 5
      CITY OF BOSTON )
 6
 7
 8
 9
                I do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript,
10
      Pages 1 through 37 inclusive, was recorded by me
11
      stenographically at the time and place aforesaid in Civil
12
      Action No. 13-10305-FDS, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA vs.
13
      KEITH GAGE and thereafter by me reduced to typewriting and is a
14
      true and accurate record of the proceedings.
15
                Dated this 12th day of November, 2014.
16
17
                               s/s Valerie A. O'Hara
18
19
                               VALERIE A. O'HARA
20
                                OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
21
22
23
24
25
```